IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELALTE SIDE

CIVIL APPLCIATION NO.1564 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.4500 OF 1995

K.L.Kumar. ...Petitioner.

Vs.

V.P.Patil & Ors. ...Respondents.

.....

Mr.Aditya Chitale for the Petitioner.

Mr.D.A.Athavale for the Respondents.

.....

CORAM : DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

June 22, 2006.

P.C. :

The Petition was dismissed in default on 1st December 2000. In para 3 of the Civil Application it has been submitted that inadvertently the matter was missed by the Court Clerk and that the Learned Advocate was, therefore, unable to appear. At the relevant time, the daily board published by Advocates' Association of

Western India printed only the names of the Advocates and the Writ Petition numbers. It is stated that the name of the Petitioner did not appear at that stage. It is submitted that the Petitioner has challenged the order of termination and after his termination, the Petitioner has been rendered jobless. Having regard to these circumstances, I am of the view that the ends of justice would be met if the petition is restored to file. The Civil Application is accordingly made absolute in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b).

Mr.Chitale undertakes to provide a fresh set of papers to Counsel for the Respondent during the course of the day.

....